Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s) FITZMAURICE ET AL.	
	10/624,193		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph T. Woitach	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in thi or other appropriate communic IGHTS. This application is subj	is application. If not include ation will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to August 21, 2006.			
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>16-27</u> .			
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (i	7).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application N	lo	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in	this national stage applica	ition from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:	•		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	IENT of this application.		
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 			IOTICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in	the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			e back) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 			Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application	
 Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Drawing Review (PT0-948) 	6. ☐ Interview Sum	• •	
	Paper No./Ma	il Date	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 	7. 🛛 Examiner's Am	ienameni/Comment	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material		tement of Reasons for Allo	owance
	9.		

DETAILED ACTION

This application filed July 21, 2003, is a continuation of 09/565,616, filed 05/04/2000, now US Patent 6,656,726, which claims benefit of 60/132,697 05/04/1999.

Claims 1-15 have been canceled. Claims 16, 20-26 have been amended. Claims 16-27 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III in the reply filed on March 1, 2006 is acknowledged.

As noted previously, claims 16 link(s) inventions I-IV. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 16. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application.

Claims 16-20 previously under examination were drawn to a method for stable expression of a trangene in a <u>plant</u> cell comprising administering a vector that encodes a transgene, the altered viral movement protein of SEQ ID NO: 6 and further a sequence encoding a 126/183 replicase complex with specific alterations at 1138, 1268, 2382 and 3632. Claim 16 is found allowable. It is noted that claims 21-27 are drawn to conventional methodology known in the art at the time of filing that would be applicable to the construction of transgenic plant cells

Art Unit: 1632

and transgenic plants in general. Further, the claims are dependent on claim 16 and have been amended to be consistent with the breadth of the elected invention. Accordingly, groups I-IV are rejoined.

Claims 16-27 are pending.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Objections

Claims 16-20 objected to because the claims are broader than this elected invention is withdrawn.

The amendment to encompass a method for stable expression of a trangene in a <u>plant</u> cell comprising administering a vector that encodes a transgene, the altered viral movement protein of SEQ ID NO: 6 has addressed the basis of the objection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined

Application/Control Number: 10/624,193

Art Unit: 1632

application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 16-20 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,726 is withdrawn.

The terminal disclaimer filed August 21, 2006 has been received and entered.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As indicated previously, the claims are free of the art of record because it fails to teach SEQ ID NO: 6 as required by claim 16. The present specification teaches that a viral vector comprising SEQ ID NO: 6, which encodes an altered movement protein, results in a vector that provides for greater genetic stability, and as a consequence increased expression of a transgene as evidenced in the working examples. The addition of other viral proteins further allows greater stability and insertion of the transgene through multiple passages.

Claims previously withdrawn have been rejoined in this action. Claims 21-27 encompass methods conventional in the art of plant transgenics at the time of filing, such as the use of Agrobacterium (claim 21) or other methods of transducing a plant cell or plant, and developing a

Application/Control Number: 10/624,193

Art Unit: 1632

plant therefrom. Given the evidence of record, it would appear that the addition of SEO ID NO:

Page 5

6 in these methodologies would provide the same benefit to transgene expression as that

specifically provided in the working examples, and generally taught in the specification.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (571) 272-0739.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached at (571) 272-0735.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (571) 272-0532.

Joseph T. Woitach

JOSEPH WOITACH, PH.D./AU 16 32
PREMARY EXAMINER